

Project Number: EBB 170019\_29en

Purpose: Assessment of resistance under fire exposure of the  
Chemfix Injection System CH 200

Client: CHEMFIX PRODUCTS LTD  
Mill Street East  
DEWSBURY, West Yorkshire WF12 9BQ

Contact: [www.uni-kl.de/ebb/](http://www.uni-kl.de/ebb/)  
Catherina Thiele  
Tel: +49 631 205 3833

Date: 11.12.2018



---

Dr.-Ing. Catherina Thiele

**Table of contents**

<b>1. General</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>2. References</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>3. Product Description</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>4. Evaluation Scope</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>5. Fire Resistances</b>	<b>4</b>

## 1. General

The Technische Universität Kaiserslautern had been authorized by CHEMFIX PRODUCTS LTD to evaluate the fire resistance of the Chemfix Injection System CH 200. This report is based on the test reports of MPA Braunschweig [3]. The fire tests and their evaluation were executed according to DIN EN 1363-1:2012 [2] and [1].

The fire resistances (listed in Table 1) are based on the test results of a one-sided fire exposure of a non-cracked concrete slab. The evaluation in this report is based on TR 020 [1].

## 2. References

- [1] Evaluation of Anchorages in Concrete Concerning Resistance to fire, EOTA TR 020, Edition May 2004
- [2] Feuerwiderstandsprüfungen – Teil 1: Allgemeine Anforderungen, DIN EN 1363-1; Edition Oktober 2012
- [3] Test Report (3290/0966)-NB dd. 06/03/2008 ; iBMB Braunschweig; hinterlegt an der TU Kaiserslautern.
- [4] ETA-18/0797 from 22 October 2018, Chemfix Injection System CH 200 for concrete, CHEMFIX PRODUCTS LTD.

## 3. Product Description

The Product is described in [4].

## 4. Evaluation Scope

The fire resistance evaluation of the Chemfix Injection System CH 200 is based on the executed fire tests. The anchors were installed upside down to simulate the real situation of a ceiling and stressed by the uniform temperature curve fire test (UTC) according to [2]. In all tests, a fixture was used based on TR020 [1], therefore the following fire resistance evaluation applies only for anchors which are protected (in a comparable manner to the used fixture in the fire test) against the temperature increase during a fire case.

The fire tests were executed on a non-cracked concrete slab.

The evaluation was executed depending on TR020 [1].

Nut failures, fracture of the anchor rod and pull-out failures occurred in the tests.

## 5. Fire Resistances

The following tables show the decisive fire resistances  $N_{Rk,fi}$  of a one side fire exposure in non-cracked concrete with tensile loading (minimum strength class C20/25). The given fire resistances  $N_{Rk,fi}$  apply for a single anchor under tensile load with an edge distance greater than  $c_{cr} = 2 h_{ef}$  and a spacing of at least  $s = 2 c_{cr} = 4 h_{ef}$  to the adjacent anchor. By keeping the mentioned edge distances and spacing, a concrete cone failure is not relevant. The given values apply for anchor rods with a strength class of at least 5.8 (EN 1993-1-8:2005+AC:2009). The same fire resistances can be assumed for threaded rods of stainless steel (A4) and high corrosion resistant steel (HCR) with a strength class of 70 (EN ISO 3506-1:2009).

If the edge distance  $c$  is chosen in a way, that steel failure / pull-out is determined in the fire design, the following load values can be also applied on anchors under shear load.

**Table 1: Fire resistance  $N_{Rk,fi}$  of Chemfix Injection System CH 200 in non-cracked concrete slab**

Fire resistance $N_{Rk,fi}$	Anchors Sizes	M8	M10	M12	M16	M20	M24	M27	M30
in [kN]	Minimum embedment depth $h_{ef,min}$ [mm]	$\geq 80$	$\geq 90$	$\geq 110$	$\geq 125$	$\geq 170$	$\geq 210$	$\geq 250$	$\geq 280$
Fire resistance duration	30	1,6	2,6	3,4	6,2	9,8	14,0	18,3	22,3
$t_u$ [min]	60	1,1	1,8	2,6	4,8	7,5	10,8	14,1	17,2
	90	0,6	0,9	1,8	3,4	5,3	7,6	9,9	12,1
	120	0,3	0,5	1,4	2,7	4,2	6,0	7,9	9,6